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Abstract

Paget’s disease of bone (PDB) is a metabolic bone disease characterized by increased bone resorption followed by excessive unregulated bone formation. This results in weakened, deformed bones of increased mass in which the collagen fibres assume a haphazard irregular mosaic pattern instead of the normal parallel symmetry. PDB rarely occurs before middle age and its prevalence increases steadily with age. The overall prevalence in Caucasians is approximately 3%; although it appears to be declining. There is a geographic variation in prevalence, with highest rates found in the UK. PDB affects both men and women, with a slight predominance in men. PDB may be asymptomatic or symptomatic, depending on the bones involved; the most common symptom is pain in the affected bone. While its aetiology remains elusive, genetic factors and environmental influences are implicated. In 2002, guidelines for PDB management were developed in Great Britain and have gained worldwide acceptance. In this position paper, an Expert Panel of Canadian endocrinologists and rheumatologists examines current evidence on the diagnosis and treatment of PDB to provide Canadian recommendations. In general, diagnosis may be confirmed both by X-ray and by the biochemical marker serum alkaline phosphatase, which is elevated in 85% of individuals with untreated active PDB. Treatment is indicated for all patients with symptoms and for asymptomatic patients with active PDB in areas of the skeleton with the potential to produce complications of clinical importance. The Panel recommends treating PDB with bisphosphonates that have demonstrated superior efficacy and remission rates.
Paget’s disease of bone (PDB) is a condition of unknown aetiology that involves accelerated bone resorption followed by the deposition of dense and disorganized bone matrix. It normally affects the elderly. Often asymptomatic, the patient may present with symptoms depending on the bones involved, the most common being pain in the affected bone. Neurological, hearing, vision, cardiac, arthritic and oncological complications as well as bone deformities have been described. Although its aetiology remains elusive, considerable advances have been made in understanding its pathology and in treating the condition. In 2002, guidelines for the management of PDB were developed by the Bone and Tooth Society of Great Britain (now Bone Research Society) in association with the National Association for the Relief of Paget’s Disease.\(^1\) These guidelines have gained worldwide acceptance. In this position paper, endorsed by the Canadian Society of Endocrinology and Metabolism (CSEM), an Expert Panel has examined current evidence on the diagnosis and treatment of PDB in an effort to provide Canadian recommendations.

**Epidemiology**

Radiological and autopsy prevalence studies indicate that PDB rarely occurs before middle age and its prevalence increases steadily with age.\(^1\) A large-scale study from the United Kingdom conducted in 1994 showed that while the disorder was present in 0.3% of both men and women aged 55 to 59 yr, the prevalence increased to 6.9% of men and 5.8% of women aged >85 yr.\(^2\) However, it now appears that the overall prevalence of the disease is declining. This same British study compared its findings with an identical study conducted in 1974 and found that the prevalence of PDB in 1994 was only 40% of that observed 20 yr earlier (men: 7% vs. 3.8%; women 2.5% vs. 1.6%).

Corroborating data from New Zealand show that not only is Paget’s Disease occurring at a later age but the presentation is less severe than previously. The authors suggest that this may mean that environmental influences, which may be waning, (on a background of genetic predisposition) may be important in the etiology of Paget’s disease.\(^3\) The same UK authors have reported an update on the epidemiology of Paget’s disease of bone since their 1999 publication. Over the period 1988-1999, the incidence rate of clinically diagnosed Paget’s disease was found to be 5 per 10,000 person years among men and 3 per 10,000 person years among women >75 yr.\(^2\)

There is a distinct geographical variation in the prevalence of the disease. Epidemiological studies have demonstrated high rates of PDB in the UK (even within localized areas of England), with somewhat lower rates in Australia, North America, and Western Europe. PDB is uncommon among African Blacks, Asians, Scandinavians, Irish, Swiss, and Southern Europeans.\(^4\) PDB affects both men and women, with a slight predominance in men.\(^7\)

**Aetiology**

Whereas several aetiological factors have been considered, most have not been positively associated with PDB. The striking geographic variation in disease prevalence, as well as the fact that its incidence around the world is apparently declining,\(^1,3,8\) may be explained in part by environmental influences, though none in particular appears to have been confirmed. Changes in calcium intake during childhood have been postulated since case-control studies have shown an association between low dietary calcium and PDB.\(^8\) Studies over the last two or three decades have also suggested that paramyxoviruses may play a role in the aetiology of the disease; measles, respiratory syncytial, and canine distemper viruses have all been implicated.\(^6\) Most of the studies undertaken to investigate a viral aetiology have demonstrated the presence of one or more paramyxoviruses in pagetic bone or bone marrow cells.\(^9\) Recently, it has been demonstrated that Canine Distemper Virus (CDV) can infect and replicate in human osteoclast precursors.\(^6\) The mechanism of action for the osteoclastogenesis may involve activation of sequestosome 1/P62 and NF-κB activation. This process also involves ubiquitin.\(^8\) Ultrastructural studies of the bone from patients with PDB have also suggested the possible causality of a slow virus infection analogous to subacute sclerosing
encephalitis, a childhood condition that follows
classical measles virus infection.9

There is also compelling evidence to support the
collection of genetic factors in the aetiology of
PDB. Current data suggest that mutations in the se-
questosome 1/p62 gene (SQSTM1/P62) conferred
disease susceptibility but may not be causative, with
some environmental influence being required to allow
expression of the disease.3 In fact, a unifying hypothe-

sism suggests that PDB may arise as the result of a ge-
netic predisposition to a viral infection.8

Genetics
Familial clustering of PDB has been documented fre-
quently and, in families, the disorder appears to be
transmitted by an autosomal dominant mode of inheri-
tance with incomplete penetrance.10,11 The suggestion
of a genetic linkage with the classical, adult-onset
PDB was first obtained with the HLA locus on chro-
mosome 6.12,13 Since 1997, six other loci have been
reported: PDB2 on chromosome 18,14 PDB3 and
PDB4, both on chromosome 5q,15 PDB5 and PDB6 on
chromosomes 2 and 10, respectively,16 and PDB7 on
chromosome 18.17 Of these loci, only the PDB3 locus
has been confirmed in an independent sample,16 and
the underlying gene identified as the SQSTM1/P62.18
The first reported mutation in this gene results in a
change from the amino acid proline to a leucine at po-

tion 392 of the protein sequence (P392L). The
P392L mutation was associated with two different
haplotypes in a Quebec sample, suggesting that it was
a recurrent mutation, i.e., coming from two different
ancestral mutation events.18 The P392L mutation was
the only mutation found in unrelated PDB cases and
families from Quebec. This mutation was originally
observed in 18 out of 112 (16%) unrelated individuals
and in 11 (46%) of the 24 families. Updated informa-
tion from the same authors show that overall 36.8%
and 8.3% of the familial and unrelated cases studied
harboured one of the reported mutations in SQSTM1/
P62. The P392L mutation accounted for 64.1% of fa-
miliar and 85.5% of unrelated carriers of any of the
SQSTM1/P62 mutations. These data again highlight
the potentially important role played by this gene in
Paget’s disease susceptibility.19 Almost all SQSTM1
mutations reported to date cluster in the ubiquitin as-

sociating domain UBA. These are loss-of-function
mutations and suggest that loss of the interaction with
ubiquitin is probably critical in the pathogenesis of the
disease.20

Clinical history and manifestations
Although PDB is often asymptomatic, 5% of patients
experience symptoms.21 including:

- bone and joint pain (occurring in the affected bone)
- joint pain from osteoarthritis in joints contiguous to
pagetic bones
- bone deformities that may result in the bowing of a
limb or increased skull size
- fracture, since pagetic bone is weaker than normal
bone
- hearing loss due to temporal bone involvement and
8th nerve damage
- headache, when the skull is affected by PDB
- hypercalciuria and hypercalcemia due to accelerated
bone resorption induced by immobilization
- neurological complications (radicular paresis or
paraparesis) due to vascular steal phenomenon, and/or
- nerve entrapment syndromes. (e.g., nerve root com-
pression caused by the impingement on nerve roots
(mainly cranial nerves or spinal nerves) as they exit
foramina narrowed by Pagetic bony involvement.)
Burden of illness

Given that most patients with PDB are asymptomatic and, therefore, may be undiagnosed, it is difficult to assess the true burden of PDB in the general population. There appears to be no reliable information available regarding the treatment and associated costs of PDB. Calculating the cost of treatment is more complex than simply multiplying the acquisition cost of the drugs by the duration of treatment since factors such as re-treatment, complications of the disease that have been averted, and adverse events as a result of treatment must be factored into the equation.

Diagnosis

PDB may present with obvious signs or symptoms or it may be an incidental finding during the investigation of other conditions (see Figure 1) such as the signs and symptoms listed above.

Biochemical

Since PDB is associated with increased bone turnover, increased activity of markers of bone turnover is to be expected in active disease. Total alkaline phosphatase (ALP, or SAP for serum alkaline phosphatase) is elevated in 85% of patients with untreated PDB. There is a strong relationship between the extent of disease activity measured by scintigraphy and the degree of the elevation of SAP in untreated PDB. “Normal” SAP within the context of PDB may be indicative of monostotic disease and can be encountered in primary lytic forms of PDB. Comparisons between bone specific ALP and total SAP have demonstrated that the former is the more sensitive of the two. However, since bone specific ALP is less readily available and
TABLE 1. Decision to Treat

**Treatment not indicated**

- Asymptomatic patients
  - Normal SAP, normal bone scan, x-ray abnormality consistent with PDB

**Pharmacotherapy indicated** (patient definitively diagnosed with PDB)

- SAP elevations
  - Asymptomatic: typically 1.5 x ULN, although any level of SAP in a site with potential complication
  - Symptomatic: any level of SAP
- Bone scan and x-ray findings diagnostic of PDB regardless of SAP

Specific situations:
1. Pain
2. Fracture or fissure of pagetic bone
3. Osteolytic fronts in pagetic bone
4. Planned orthopedic surgery around pagetic bone
5. Neurological compromise or risk: deafness, spinal nerve compromise
6. Pagetic lesions close to weight-bearing joint e.g. acetabulum, knee
7. Hypercalcemia due to PDB (consider other or additional diagnosis)
8. High cardiac output failure (a rare occurrence)

SAP = serum alkaline phosphatase
X-Ray = radiologic evaluation
ULN = upper limit of normal
PDB = Paget’s disease of bone

---

**Histological**

Bone biopsy is rarely required in diagnosing PDB but may be useful in differentiating it from osteoblastic metastases or osteosarcoma,¹ particularly when imaging studies such as plain radiographic assessments complemented by CT scan (± MRI) are equivocal. Ideally, the bone biopsy should be done after double tetracycline labelling, with the bone sample being processed without decalcification.

Histology usually demonstrates an increase in bone resorption with large multinucleated (“giant”) osteoclasts with increased bone marrow fibrosis surrounding bone trabeculae. In addition, there is often accelerated disorganized bone formation with increases seen in osteoid bone surfaces, osteoid bone volume, and bone mineralization rate (therefore excluding osteomalacia). This high bone formation rate leads to an abnormal “woven” bone pattern instead of...
the normal lamellar bone texture. The increased bone volume could also lead to a loss of distinction between cortical bone and trabecular bone. Despite this osteosclerosis, because of altered bone quality, pagetic bone is more fragile and prone to fracture. Vertebral compression fractures account for an appreciable proportion of the fractures seen in PDB, and are often at uninvolved sites. Finally, the bone is often hyper-vascular, which leads to increased bleeding during orthopaedic surgery undertaken without prior anti-resorptive treatment.

Indications for therapy

Numerous criteria exist for the decision to treat PDB (see Table 1). Bone pain is the only symptom of PDB for which there is firm evidence that antipagetic therapy confers clinical benefit, thus pain in pagetic bone is a definite indication for antipagetic therapy. However, one must distinguish bone pain due to pagetic activity (i.e., “metabolic pain”) from pain due to bone and/or joint deformity as a consequence of the disease (i.e., “arthritic pain”). While the former is usually present at rest, the latter is present during mobilization of the joint and may therefore respond to NSAIDs and/or other analgesics, but not to antipagetic drug therapy.

Fracture is a fairly common complication of PDB but, the effect of antipagetic therapy on fracture rates has not been adequately studied. Treatment of PDB with the goal of reducing fracture risk or to improve fracture healing has not been demonstrated, although it may be considered.

The effects of antipagetic therapy on bone deformity are similarly unclear. While therapy may be warranted in managing facial deformities due to PDB, whether or not it is effective solely for the prevention of deformity elsewhere remains to be seen. However, it is generally believed that initiation of therapy halts the progression of the disease.

There are no specific recommendations about treatment of osteolytic lesions associated with PDB in the absence of other indications for treatment. However, since osteolytic lesions in lower limbs are a significant cause of bone fragility, and there is evidence suggesting that all bisphosphonates except etidronate promote radiological healing of osteolytic lesions in PDB, treatment with a bisphosphonate may be indicated.

Although PDB increases the risk of osteoarthritis, there is limited evidence that antipagetic therapy affects its development or progression. In a 12-year follow-up of 41 patients with PDB, osteoarthritic complications occurred in 62% of the patients who reduced their SAP by 50% after treatment, compared with 33% of those who normalized their SAP after treatment. Thus, it is reasonable to treat patients in whom there is uncertainty as to the cause of pain because there is often difficulty distinguishing between pain that emanates from PDB or pain due to osteoarthritis in an adjacent joint. When PDB is asymptomatic, but involves bone adjacent to a major weight-bearing joint such as hip or knee, many experts would also favour treatment to avoid expansion of PDB into the joint.

Deafness is a fairly common complication of PDB but the effect of antipagetic therapy vis-à-vis hearing loss is equivocal. Nevertheless, due to the irreversibility of hearing loss, it is recommend that patients with PDB of the skull base be treated to minimize the risk of progression and later deafness.

Although spinal cord lesions are a relatively rare complication of PDB, bisphosphonates have been shown to improve neurological function in patients with this complication. However, since the condition usually occurs as a result of a vascular steal phenomenon related to hypervascular pagetic bone of an affected vertebra, it is recommended that patients who develop neurological symptoms as a result of spinal PDB be treated medically. Surgical decompression should be reserved for patients unresponsive to medical therapy. Note that prior medical therapy is necessary to reduce the risk of bleeding during surgery performed on a bone with active PDB.

Another rare complication of PDB, hypercalcemia may result from a combination of increased bone turnover and immobilization, but is almost always due to the presence of another cause of hypercalcemia such as primary hyperparathyroidism. Clinical obser-
Treatments for PDB

Symptomatic

The main symptom of PDB is pain; although only 5% of patients actually experience it.21 Patients with pain must be carefully assessed to determine its likely cause. Pain arising from elevated bone turnover (e.g., as indicated by elevated SAP) responds well to osteoclast inhibitors (e.g., bisphosphonates), whereas pain from nerve compression (which may arise from bone deformity or coexisting arthritis) does not and should be treated with standard pain relievers (e.g., analgesics, NSAIDs, etc.). Some patients also benefit from combination therapy comprising an analgesic and a low-dose tricyclic antidepressant. Physical methods of pain control including acupuncture, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, physiotherapy, and hydrotherapy may also be helpful. Orthopaedic devices (e.g., canes, shoe rises, etc.) may help some patients. For those patients whose pain is resistant to medical therapy, joint replacement may be indicated. Surgery may also be required in nerve compression syndromes that are nonresponsive to medical treatment.1

Specific therapy

Drug management is the mainstay of therapy for active PDB. Specific therapy is generally aimed at decreasing abnormal bone turnover due to osteoclastic bone resorption.1 Since their introduction, bisphosphonates (see Table 2) have become the gold standard of therapy for PDB. Other therapies may still be considered for patients who are intolerant of or nonresponsive to bisphosphonates. It is difficult to compare the different treatment regimens since there is a lack of consensus vis-à-vis standard therapeutic response.1 However, active head-to-head comparison trials have been conducted with many of the bisphosphonates. In general, the endpoints for these trials are SAP normalization and time to recurrence (based on rise in SAP). Results from these and ongoing trials are helping to provide reliable indications of comparative efficacy.

Bisphosphonates: Bisphosphonates are a class of drugs related to the naturally occurring mineralization inhibitor inorganic pyrophosphate. They are non-toxic to most tissues, as they require an acid environment to traverse cell membranes. In biological systems, they are able to bind to the surface of hydroxyapatite crystals within bone, especially on those surfaces undergoing active osteoclastic resorption, and are then able to enter the osteoclast because of the acid environment created by osteoclasts on the resorption surface.1 Bisphosphonates work according to one of two main mechanisms of action, depending on the chemical nature of the side-chain attached to the basic bisphosphonate core. For the more potent, nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates (i.e., zoledronic acid, alendronate, risedronate, and pamidronate), the direct intracellular target in osteoclasts is the enzyme farnesyl diphosphate synthase in the cholesterol biosynthetic pathway.23,28 Its inhibition suppresses a process, protein prenylation, which is essential for the basic cellular processes required for osteoclastic bone resorption and cell survival. The end result is abrupt inhibition of osteoclast activity followed by apoptosis. The relatively weak, non-nitrogen, simple bisphosphonates (i.e., clodronate and etidronate) also inhibit bone resorption through induction of osteoclast apoptosis by generating a toxic analog of adenosine triphosphate, which then targets the mitochondria, the energy centre within the cell.23,28 Clodronate is not approved for the treatment of PDB in Canada. In addition, despite its indication, both the UK guidelines and the Canadian consensus statement specifically recommend against the use of etidronate as treatment for PDB since it is not only less effective than newer bisphosphonates in suppressing biochemical markers of disease activity but, in high doses, may lead to mineralization defects.1,23

Five bisphosphonates are currently indicated in Canada for the treatment of PDB (see Table 2). These include two intravenous bisphosphonates (zoledronic acid 5 mg and pamidronate) and three oral bisphosphonates (alendronate, risedronate and etidronate).
TABLE 2. Specific Therapeutic Agents Indicated in Canada for the Treatment of PDB (order according to level of recommendation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bisphosphonates</th>
<th>Administration and Dosage</th>
<th>Findings*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zoledronic</strong></td>
<td>Intravenous: one single dose of 5 mg/100 mL given over no less than 15 min</td>
<td><strong>Newest and most potent BP</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 mg</td>
<td>Patients must drink ~500 mL water before and after infusion</td>
<td>Only single-dose BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade Name: Aclasta®</td>
<td>Adequate intake of calcium (1000-1500 mg daily) and vitamin D (800-1000 IU daily)** is recommended prior to and after bisphosphonate dosing for the first two months</td>
<td>Most patients achieve normalization of SAP(9%) and demonstrate therapeutic response (96%) within 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer: Novartis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superior, faster acting, longer-lasting efficacy compared to risedronate 31, 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Can be associated with transient febrile reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Risedronate</strong></td>
<td>Tablet: 30 mg once daily for 2 months</td>
<td>3rd generation BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 mg</td>
<td>Must be taken in the morning with ~250 mL of water on an empty stomach</td>
<td>Shown to normalize SAP and improve bone turnover associated with improvement in radiological changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade Name: Actonel®</td>
<td>No food, beverages, or medications for at least 30 minutes after dose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Patient may sit but not lie down for at least 30 minutes after taking risedronate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer: Procter &amp; Gamble</td>
<td>Adequate intake of calcium (1000-1500 mg daily) and vitamin D (800-1000 IU daily) is recommended prior to and after bisphosphonate dosing for the first two months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alendronate</strong></td>
<td>Tablet: 40 mg once daily for 6 months</td>
<td>3rd generation BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 mg</td>
<td>Must be taken in the morning with ~250 mL of water on an empty stomach</td>
<td>Avoids risk of inhibition of mineralization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade Name: Fosamax®</td>
<td>No food, beverages, or medications for at least 30 minutes after dose</td>
<td>Demonstrated superior SAP reduction and remission (p &lt; 0.001) compared to pamidronate in PDB patients previously treated with pamidronate 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer: Merck</td>
<td>Patient may sit but not lie down for at least 30 minutes after taking alendronate</td>
<td>Generally well tolerated but associated with substantial number of febrile reactions and occasional increases in bone pain following infusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adequate intake of calcium (1000-1500 mg daily) and vitamin D (800-1000 IU daily) is recommended prior to and after bisphosphonate dosing for the first two months</td>
<td>Occasional phlebitis at the infusion site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pamidronate</strong></td>
<td>Intravenous (IV) infusion</td>
<td>Reduces SAP activity 50% to 80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The recommended total dose of pamidronate for a treatment course is 180 to 210 mg. This may be administered either as:</td>
<td>Improves radiological and scintigraphic appearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade Name: Aredia®</td>
<td>30 mg once a week for 6 weeks</td>
<td>Improves bone turnover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(total dose = 180 mg). (Note that other options are used empirically by some physicians, e.g., 3 doses of 60 mg over 1-2 weeks or 60 mg as a single dose with monitoring over 1-2 months.)</td>
<td>Reduces bone pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer: Novartis</td>
<td>Infusions administered every 2 weeks: initial dose (week 1) = 30 mg; subsequent doses (weeks 3, 5, &amp; 7) = 60 mg; (total dose = 210 mg)</td>
<td>Generally well tolerated but associated with substantial number of febrile reactions and occasional increases in bone pain following infusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A course of pamidronate may be readministered at intervals as needed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Serum creatinine should be tested before each pamidronate treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adequate intake of calcium (1000-1500 mg daily) and vitamin D (800-1000 IU daily) is recommended</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Etidronate Disodium</strong></td>
<td>200 to 400 mg tablet taken by mouth once daily for 6 months</td>
<td>1st BP used in treatment of PDB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Should be taken on an empty stomach at least 2 hours before or after meals with a full glass of water</td>
<td>Reduces SAP activity 40% to 70% (dose dependent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade Name: Didronel®</td>
<td>A course of etidronate should not exceed 6 months</td>
<td>Improves pagetic pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer: Procter &amp; Gamble</td>
<td>Repeat courses can be given after rest periods of at least 3 months duration</td>
<td>High doses associated with GI side effects and increased risk of fracture, possibly due to focal osteomalacia which can occur within two weeks of treatment or with continuous therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adequate intake of calcium (1000-1500 mg daily) and vitamin D (800-1000 IU daily) is recommended</td>
<td>Low-dose treatment associated with long-term resistance to treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UK guidelines and Canadian Consensus do not recommend it for PDB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Reference 1, unless otherwise indicated. BP = bisphosphonate  SAP = serum alkaline phosphatase

** The recommendation for 800-1000 IU of vitamin D is based on expert consensus opinion (grade D recommendation)
When taken orally, bisphosphonates are poorly absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract and absorption is diminished when taken with food, particularly containing calcium or other divalent cations. Therefore, oral bisphosphonates must be taken with water on an empty stomach, allowing an interval of at least half an hour (and preferably more) after dose before eating or drinking. Nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates (alendronate and risedronate) can sometimes cause upper gastrointestinal side-effects, such as heartburn and dyspepsia, and a few cases of oesophageal ulceration and stricture have been reported with alendronate, due to the tablet sticking in the esophagus. Alendronate and risedronate should therefore be used with caution in patients with dysphagia, symptomatic oesophageal disease, gastritis, duodenitis or ulcers, and these drugs are contraindicated when there are abnormalities of the oesophagus or other factors which delay oesophageal emptying. Patients should be instructed to stay fully upright for at least 30 minutes after oral administration. There are no restrictions with IV bisphosphonates on when and what to drink, or on normal activities such as standing, sitting, taking a walk or exercising.

Although uncommon with oral bisphosphonates, a transient, acute febrile reaction often develops after initial exposure to intravenously administered bisphosphonates. Taking acetaminophen or an NSAID can also prevent or ameliorate this acute-phase reaction. The prevalence and severity of the acute-phase reaction decreases considerably by the time of the second infusion. Patients who are prescribed an intravenously administered bisphosphonate should drink two glasses of water before and after infusion. This will ensure that renal glomerular filtration (GFR) is adequate to prevent any potential renal damage. All patients prescribed bisphosphonates should have serum calcium, serum creatinine and GFR determined prior to dosing as the agents are contraindicated if GFR is < 30.

An adequate intake of calcium (1000-1500 mg daily) and vitamin D (800-1000 IU daily) should be ensured both before and after dosing during the first two months of treatment to prevent post-dose hypocalcaemia.

Osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ) is a rare condition of unknown aetiology that most often occurs after the treatment of cancer patients, receiving high-dose, frequent intravenous bisphosphonate injection or infusion. Since 2003, there have been a few case reports of ONJ after oral bisphosphonate treatment for osteoporosis. This has required a class-effect warning for all bisphosphonates. Patients present, usually after dental trauma or surgery, with areas of exposed mandibular or maxillary bone that fails to heal after at least six weeks of conservative therapy. No cases of ONJ have been reported in PDB patients treated with zoledronic acid 5 mg. Nonetheless, a dental examination with appropriate preventive dental care should be recommended for patients with dental risk factors. Since the concern about ONJ is increasing and there are still a paucity of data, the ASBMR and other societies and organizations have promulgated various recommendations/guidelines. The task force of the ASBMR published recommendations in 2006, which should serve as guideline advice for practitioners until more information and a reliable ONJ incidence is determined.

Normalization of biochemical markers (e.g., SAP) implies disease remission and is now the goal of therapy. Newer bisphosphonates (i.e., alendronate, risedronate and zoledronic acid 5 mg) have made normalization of biochemical indices achievable.

Although bisphosphonates have a qualitatively similar effect on bone resorption, their distinct physicochemical and biologic properties prevent reliable extrapolation of therapeutic efficacy from one agent to another. Studies have assessed whether resistance to one bisphosphonate would predict resistance to others and found that failure to achieve biochemical normalization was likely to be drug-specific and not necessarily indicative of a general inability to respond to bisphosphonate therapy. Comparative trials have been published evaluating the relative efficacy of the bisphosphonates in the treatment of PDB. These trials typically use extent of suppression of SAP and duration of remission as evidence of superior treatment effect. Although of somewhat differing protocols, these trials demonstrate that alendronate and risedronate are superior to eti-
dronate, and risedronate appears superior to alen-
dronate. Recent comparison of zoledronic acid 5 mg
and risedronate in 357 patients after six months
showed normalization of SAP in 89% of zoledronic
acid-treated patients and 58% of risedronate-treated
patients.31 Patients were given either zoledronic acid
(one 5 mg infusion) or oral risedronate (30 mg daily
for two months) and evaluated six months after com-
encing therapy. Patients in remission at that point
were followed for duration of response and, after 18
months, zoledronic acid 5 mg extended remission in
98% of patients with one single dose, compared to
66% with risedronate.32 Subsequent analyses have
documented differences in cost-effectiveness in favour
of the more effective therapies.34,35

Surgery: In treating PDB, surgery is generally con-
fined to the management of fracture, deformity, or ar-
thritis. There may be an increased risk of blood loss
during surgery since pagetic bone is more vascular
than healthy bone.1 Therefore, the administration of
bisphosphonate therapy prior to surgery is recom-
manded, “if only to ensure that treatment of the under-
lying disease has not removed the need for surgery.”1
Bisphosphonate therapy may also be important in op-
timizing bone strength and quality prior to surgery to
enhance surgical outcomes. Surgery may also be rec-
commended for patients with painful pagetic deformedi-
ties and it is also suggested for patients with osteoar-
thritis related to PDB whose symptoms do not im-
prove with medical therapy.1

Follow-up

The goal in treating PDB is to relieve symptoms and
prevent complications. In addition to patient reports of
pain relief and radiological improvement of osteolytic
lesions, it is usual to assess treatment efficacy by
measuring biochemical markers of bone turnover. Op-
timum levels of bone turnover reduction have not
been established, but the consensus is that biochemi-
ical markers should be suppressed into the population
reference (normal) range.1 SAP is the most com-
monly used biochemical marker because it has high
reproducibility and is sensitive to clinically important

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 3. Follow-up and Treatment Endpoints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SAP</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Repeat at 6 and 12 months, then annually or in the event of new symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Normalization is the goal (re-treat after minimum of 6 months)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Increase in SAP of 25% above nadir or SAP above ULN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If SAP is normal:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Re-treat after minimum of 6-12 months if there is persistent abnormal uptake on bone scan consistent with PDB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Re-treat after minimum of 6-12 months if there are persistent osteolytic lesions on xray of a known pagetic site (especially the weight-bearing long bones).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

changes in disease activity.1 It is also widely available
and relatively inexpensive. SAP is elevated in un-
treated PDB (correlated with disease extent) and usu-
ally falls within seven to 10 days of initiating antiresor-
tive therapy. A decrease of 25% in SAP represents a
significant response.1 Because in all studies of bisphosphonate therapy the nadir SAP level occurred
three to six months after initiation of therapy and was
followed by a gradual offset of treatment effect, it is
recommended that bone turnover be measured after
six months of therapy, and thereafter at intervals of
one year (see Table 3).1 Although bone specific ALP is
more sensitive and specific, the difference is unlikely
to be of clinical importance except for patients with
liver disease, patients with monostotic disease, and
those with total SAP within the normal range.1

Urinary markers of bone resorption such as de-
oxypyridinoline, alpha-C-telopeptide or hydroxypro-
line respond more quickly to treatment (nadir within
10 to 30 days post treatment) and may also indicate
relapse before changes in SAP occur. However, they
are not recommended (nor, for the most part, neces-
sary) since their reproducibility is lower than that of
SAP and changes greater than 40% are often required
at the individual level to be significant.1

Isotope bone scans are a relatively insensitive
means of measuring response and there is a consider-
able delay between biochemical response and im-
provement in bone scans. Moreover, they expose the
patient to extra radiation. Nevertheless, they may be
Treating relapse

The reintroduction of bisphosphonate therapy is indicated for patients who relapse. The parameters for re-treatment are as follows: 1 Symptom relapse or persistence, usually pain, should be confirmed by objective evidence of disease activity. In its absence, other causes of pain should be sought. Biochemical criteria must be used to measure therapeutic efficacy in asymptomatic disease. It is generally accepted that an increase of SAP of 25% above nadir, even if the total is still within the normal range, indicates significant relapse.1

As stated above, the effects of bisphosphonate treatment are generally apparent within three months and maximal by six months. Thus, it is appropriate to review and change treatment if a patient has failed to respond in six months. It is our position that high potency bisphosphonates should be used to initiate treatment (see Table 4).

Pharmacoeconomics: the cost of treatment

In the treatment of PDB, a more complete remission with a longer duration induced by a more effective albeit more expensive treatment is likely to be more cost-effective. Such analyses have been undertaken for etidronate, alendronate and risedronate 23 based upon the head-to-head trials available. When zoledronic acid 5 mg therapy is considered in this context, its cost-effectiveness surpasses that of previously evaluated bisphosphonates.

In a Dutch study of published trials, the relative cost-effectiveness of zoledronic acid 5 mg and risedronate, including all direct medical and travel costs, were modeled over 24 months. In the zoledronic acid group, 19.6 months were spent with normalized SAP levels, versus 13.2 for the risedronate group. The number of treatments per year was 0.58 for the zoledronic acid group and 0.94 for the risedronate group. Management costs in the zoledronic acid group were lower (€ 891; ~$1,222 CAN) than in the risedronate group (€ 1217; ~ $1,675 CAN), leading to savings of €326 (~$449 CAN) per year. The authors concluded that treatment of PDB with zoledronic acid 5 mg is more effective and less expensive than treatment with risedronate.34

A recently presented economic evaluation of the treatment of PDB, using data from the Canadian provincial healthcare system, has also shown that zoledronic acid 5 mg is clinically and economically superior over two years to risedronate.35 The target population was PDB patients with SAP levels at least twice the upper limit of normal, symptomatic, or at risk of PDB complications. The treatment cost was determined using efficacy and safety data obtained from a pooled analysis of two recently published comparative trials. The direct medical costs included drug, physician, remission, laboratory, diagnostic and adverse event costs (see Table 5 for a breakdown of costs). The frequency of physician visits, laboratory and diagnostic tests were based on published treatment guidelines (no infusion costs for zoledronic acid 5 mg were included since the manufacturer would fund the infusions). A 5% discount rate was used after one year. The acquisition cost of zoledronic acid 5 mg was equivalent to that of risedronate.

A single 5-mg IV dose of zoledronic acid 5 mg was shown clinically to have superior efficacy, faster onset and longer-lasting effect compared to oral risedronate 30 mg daily for 60 days.35 Overall PDB treatment costs were $2,057.66 for zoledronic acid 5 mg and $2,542.16 for risedronate (SCAN, 2005).35 A sensitivity analysis demonstrated that a 2.7% increase in...
the price of risedronate resulted in a 7.3% increase in the cost savings for zoledronic acid 5 mg, from $484.50 to $519.69. The higher remission rates, faster onset and longer-lasting effect of zoledronic acid 5 mg compared with risedronate resulted in drug cost savings of $443.23. No incremental cost-effectiveness ratio was calculated as treatment with zoledronic acid 5 mg was clinically more effective and cost less than risedronate.35

Conclusion

Paget’s disease of bone is characterized by accelerated bone resorption followed by the deposition of dense and disorganized bone matrix. The disease may be asymptomatic or symptomatic, depending on the bones involved. The most common symptom is pain in the affected bone. Normally affecting the elderly, the overall incidence of PDB in Caucasians is estimated to be ~3%. The incidence appears to be decreasing. In general, diagnosis may be confirmed both by X-ray and by the biochemical marker SAP, which is elevated in 85% of individuals with untreated PDB. Treatment of PDB is indicated for all patients with symptoms as well as for those asymptomatic patients with active PDB in areas of the skeleton with the potential to produce complications of clinical significance. The recommendation is to treat with bisphosphonates that have demonstrated superior efficacy and remission rates.

Methodology: No specific process was used for the development of this position paper. However, a formal literature search was carried out using standard search engines (for example, PubMed). We also relied heavily on the bibliography from the recent UK guidelines. Conception and Acknowledgements: This paper came together as a result of a meeting between the authors and Novartis Canada, Inc. that took place to review data from various clinical trials the authors were involved in. It was proposed by the academic faculty that we create Paget’s disease treatment recommendations with a Canadian perspective using the UK guidelines as a template but representing Canadian recommendations. The production of this position paper was supported by an unrestricted grant from Novartis Canada, Inc., who had no part in the content of the paper. None of the authors have received or will receive any compensation or remuneration in any form for their contribution to this manuscript.
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